

Alan Watt
"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#218)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Dec. 18, 2008:

Psychiatric Drugs for Healthy Mugs:

**"Psychiatric Drugs for the Healthy, Not Detrimental,
Shades of Aldous Huxley, Sound Coincidental?
'Chip and Drug Society,' Experts Moaned,
'Change the Laws, Let's All Get Stoned,'
Uppers for Work, Downers for Sleep,
Forget Your Pill? -- Brain Chip Will Beep,
Don't Overdo It, You'll Become a Cropper,
With Bloodshot Eyes, Saliva Slobber,
'We're Trained Intellectuals,' Advocates Cried,
Give Them Downers, They're Insane Certified"
© Alan Watt Dec. 18, 2008**

Thursday 18th December 2008

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Dec. 18, 2008 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com

www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

"Code of Silence" by Bruce Springsteen

There's a code of silence that we don't dare speak
There's a wall between us and a river so deep
And we keep pretending that there's nothing wrong
But there's a code of silence and it can't go on

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on the 18th of December 2008. There's always newcomers coming in for the first time. I advise them to go into www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com and download, if possible, as many of the talks I've given in the past as they possibly can, because you never know, you just never know when we'll lose it or get pulled or whatever; and, when information is gone, it's gone. It's zap, its finisimo and you'll never get it back again.

Understanding the big picture is of vital importance, to make any sense at all of the changes we're going through today, how we got here, who's guiding it and how we're guided truly by hundreds of NGOs that appear in little obscure write ups in newspapers and how it sinks into us, that we're being directed and we should go along with their directions, that's how it's portrayed to us by the mainstream media. These are private organisations they're truly not independent of each other, they're all working together, towards the same common goal; and, it's not too pleasant really when you look at it. From their point of view, they think they're doing the world a favour, they want to truly depopulate all the unfit off of the face of the earth and have it all to themselves, all the better types of genes and, they'll have all the space and they'll be able to live forever and ever and use

the resources wisely, to sustain themselves.

Also look into www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu and you can download transcripts of these talks, print them up - and they're done in the various languages of Europe - and pass them round to your friends.

Information truly is the most vital thing you could possibly have these days and that is why there's always been a war as to what information prevails. The mainstream media have had it their way for so long and they've had us all trained, from school and onwards, to believe that everything they give us is the god's gospel truth. Most folk never ever question it; they don't even reason through the process, they don't even ask themselves how come these are official sort of organisations, these main news companies, very-very official, in a sense, but they're privately-owned. Privately owned by what? By the power elite, by those who have already established this system and who rule over this system. You don't have to be, in fact, the people who rule over this system are not involved in politics, they just place the politicians in but they're not really involved themselves. They plan on a much-much higher, long-term level.

When you go in to do any search on the organisations that have the ear of governments, remember government's main job really, never mind what they tell us, or portray themselves as, their main job is to sign into law those laws which will affect us and all of our actions in everyday life. Therefore, those who tell them what to do, all the big lobbying companies, and you better believe it, there are big Foundations as well and Non-Governmental Organisations which lobby full time, to get the government's ear and they do get the government's ear. The average citizen cannot get that kind of meeting, even with writing to them and calling and so on. It might take you a year or two years before one minor bureaucrat will have listened to what you have to say. However, the lobbyists get instant access and because they already have some kind of strange official *standing*, that Masonic word again, standing in society or in the community, whenever they speak, they are listened to by these politicians who know that there is an organisation above all of this that runs it through these organisations, they run the whole system. I'll be back with more after the following messages, with more of this topic.

=== BREAK ===

I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix, discussing the fact that the people, the general population, don't sway the course of politics and decision-making by the politicians but it is done by the big lobbying crowds and the Foundations that fund them and also through the Non-Governmental Organisations that are interwoven across the planet and who are funded by these big Foundations, who steer the whole world in a particular direction and they've been doing this for an awful, awful long time.

This whole sustainability project was started a long time ago, long time ago and written about much-much earlier in fact, and as far back as the 1800s, the big rich people of the world and their clubs (as they called them then) were discussing sustainability and the future. They were also into eugenics and discussed, quite openly, who should live, who should die, what kind of genes should be passed on and so on. They also knew they needed a type of worker for the industrial era - which they understood would come to an end - and pretty well accurately when. And, that those workers would be then disposable, they would have no more purpose and it's no coincidence that, since the hand over of technology and the

sponsoring, the paying of the factories to move over to China, to de-industrialise the West, it is no coincidence that the howl was started at the same time about sustainability and over population, aimed primarily at the West.

As you go back into all of the predictive programming we were given; predictive programming, remember, is mainly fiction, novels, movies, series on television, which are all meant to get into your mind, when you are relaxed and your guard is down. They get into your mind a possibility of a direction in the future, which will happen in your lifetime; and, at the time as you're watching it, if you were to take someone away from TV, or drag them away from TV, as you really have to do and say "*Do you really believe that will happen?*" they say "*No*" and, sure enough, you'll see it introduced into your life gradually and you'll see that same person accept it quite naturally, because they've already been downloaded with the possible idea of it.

You see, if you're sitting at a lecture, you can say "yes, I agree with this, I disagree with that" in your own mind; but when you're being entertained, that censor part, that discriminatory part of your brain is down, the shield is down and you're concentrating on the plot, whatever human plot they push into a movie. You don't realise you're being programmed too, with the whole theme, which is generally futuristic, but not too far off, and massive changes coming your way and they show you what kind of changes they're talking about. Therefore, when it happens in reality, you'll come to the conclusions 'well I guess this was somehow inevitable' and that's called predictive programming. That's why the Hollywood directors and writers are given so much money, so they're paid such big bucks, because they've got a very important task and that's directing and guiding the culture and the direction of society.

Plato talked about it 2,300 years ago, how important the culture industry was to controlling people and guiding them, on behalf of the elite. One of the movies that came out many years ago, with Robert Duvall, was called THX 1138. It showed a society where people were drugged constantly and they were monitored and watched everywhere they went, even in the bathroom, even their drug cabinet had cameras in it. They had to give urine samples, throughout the day, to ensure that they were taking their drugs and there were higher echelons of workers watching all the lower workers and monitoring their biorhythms and everything else. At the time, people thought, well that will never happen, what a horrific show. Now, the writer of that book did not come up with that scenario himself, because it had been discussed long prior to that, again, by people like Aldous Huxley, in the 1930s, who never changed his attitude towards the drugging of society. He was still going on about it in the 1960s; and I have the talk he gave at Berkeley in the '60s when he touched on that very topic. He says what's wrong about drugging society, they're not terribly happy anyway. What's wrong? Meaning if the superior ones decide what drugs they should be pushed on them and be made to take, as long as they're happy.

You see, you've got to understand their point of view and how they see humanity: they see humanity as just a collection of animals. They do see themselves of course as the most evolved types of those animals, so whenever they are talking about that, it's not really inclusive of themselves; it's about them, you know, those ones down there they're talking about. He said it quite confidently, they're not terribly happy anyway. It's true because you look at society and there's nothing real in it, the whole culture, the whole structure of society was made, you were born into it

and who designed it? Someone did design it; it didn't just evolve by itself, as they'd like you to believe. Culture has always been of prime concern of those who rule, to those who rule. Therefore, they make sure you are given the proper culture for the proper time that you live in; and, it's always been that way.

As I say, Huxley and others talked about the necessity to drug society, as long as they were happy, the animals would be happy and that was all that mattered to them. To them, it was very simple: make the animals happy and use whatever means to do so. Then you can do whatever with them, as you wish to. Huxley also got turned on when he talked about his work at Tavistock, when they were inserting wires into mental patients' brains at the time, to make them alter their behaviour, or make them turn and move like a robot. And, here you are, pulling the strings is the guy in the white coat, that really excited him. What they could do with the human animals really-really amazed him.

Getting back to predictive programming, you see we've had so much of this predictive programming and I'll touch on the fact that the US Psychiatric Association, many years ago, and the British one, they were heavily involved in pre-World War Two eugenics programmes because the whole of psychiatry is based on defective genes and hereditary illnesses. They came out at the same time as the embryo of the geneticists, with the same theme that everything was due to inherited genes, including intellect. It's very simple to see humanity as a bunch of animals. How do you improve the stock of humanity? Well, you eliminate those with the defective genes, very-very simple. What do you do with the public in the process of eliminating them? Just make them happy, as they're all dying off. Very-very simple, there's nothing complicated about this.

What the elite always depend upon is a class of intellectuals and what they mean by an intellectual is not someone with original thought, it's simply someone who's been put into prominence, by having so many degrees in a certain area and being pushed to the top then churning out a few books that are guaranteed to be successes, by those behind the author, who will push it and make it so. I've read studies before where they show you that the easiest people to influence along political correctness, no matter what part of the agenda is (global warming, whatever it is), the easiest ones to influence are the better-educated, because they have an even stronger need to belong to their peer group. A strong need to be accepted, therefore, whatever's 'in'- in their little parties and get-togethers - whatever conversations are 'in', they will parrot them, without question. They want to belong, therefore the 'intellectual groups', as they call themselves, are used heavily with all the Non-Governmental Organisations, the so-called professional-type people.

I'm going into this particular area of drugging society because we've had years and years of the Psychiatric Association actually saying that most of society is mentally ill and what they meant by that was we held on to what they call 'antiquated ideals'. One was religion, now, you're ok if you accept the new age types of religion, that's OK, but if you hang onto the old religions, you're mentally ill; that was one point. If you believed in the family, having a mate for life, there was something wrong with your head, and, they wrote about this in great detail. You should read the Human Agenda, put out by one of their protégés. This was also echoed by people like John Dewey, who set up the American Educational Association and set up its mandate, a long, long time ago.

Where are we going with the drugs, the drugging of society? Look at all of the promotions we've had, to get society on drugs of one kind or another. If you're upset: take a drug; if you're depressed: don't get to the root cause of the depression, or even if it's reactive, no, just take a pill. In other words, every side-effect of a happening in your life was of no relevance whatsoever as to the cause, just take a pill to get rid of it. Like getting rid of warts, so your emotions now are just like warts: you have good ones and bad ones, good skin and bad warts, just get rid of the bad warts and that's what the drugging is all about. I'll go into this to do with Non-Governmental Organisations, to see how they're pushing the drugs, to drug the whole of society and eventually it'll be done by law. I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, into the area of the mind and how the big boys and I mean big boys, want to control the mind of every single individual, because, after all, we're not using it are we? The old joke was that even in an age where organs are so freely available, the most expensive one is the brain. The reason being, when they put them on sale, it says *Brain for sale, transplant, like new, hardly been used*. That's the big joke. However, here these guys are, wanting to drug the whole of society, you know, these better types, these ones who have had an education and joined the right circles, who are funded and backed by the big companies, including the big Pharma companies that want them to push this stuff and they're doing it quite willingly. Here's one organisation that was dealing with this, now that we've years and years of tranquilisers being pushed out. I can remember, when they pushed out the tranquilisers across Europe, aimed mainly at the British housewife, or the French housewife and so on and they started with the Valiums and Librium and they used to call them *Mother's little helper* and they all got hooked on them and for 20-odd years / 25 years, the makers of Valium for instance, kept denying and, because they have so much input into the education of in-coming doctors, they trained them to say it was all in the mind. And, the doctors believed it too, even though they were seeing people coming in and convulsions in the emergency room, when they were trying to get off the Valium.

Valium's a severe drug that takes up to 2-5 years to leach out of your body, once you stop it, because it lays itself down inside the long bones of the body. That was all known, before they launched it on the market; but, that's the power of them, they can keep it all quiet and tell you it's all in your head, for 25 or more years. It doesn't stop them and it's not just because they're greedy, there's an agenda here, you control society through big, real corporations, they're all integrated with the control of society.

This article here ties in with THX 1138 scenario, because now that they've has us all so used to drugs and so on and so many children are now on Ritalin and other kinds of drugs, it's time for the next step and try and get the rest of society in on the act. This is from www.nature.com it's called simply Nature published online 7th December 2008. It says:

Towards responsible use of cognitive-enhancing drugs by the healthy

It's put out by, these are the people who are on, I guess, the panel that put this thing together:

Henry Greely Stanford Law School, California; Barbara Sahakian is at the Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, and MRC/Wellcome Trust Behavioural and Clinical Neuroscience Institute, Cambridge, UK.

I wonder if it's the Wellcome Pharmaceutical Company that's backing that? It keeps cropping up all the time.

John Harris is at the Institute for Science, Ethics and Innovation, and

Again

Wellcome Strategic Programme in The Human Body, its Scope, Limits and Future, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester. Ronald C. Kessler is at Harvard Medical School, Department of Health Care Policy Boston, Massachusetts

This is 'Health Care Policy'

Michael Gazzaniga is at the Sage Center for the Study of Mind

Sage Centre, very nice isn't it?

For the study of mind, University of California Santa Barbara, California. Philip Campbell is at Nature, 4 Crinan St, London

I guess is the organisation, Nature; and then:

Martha J. Farah is at the Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, University of Pennsylvania,

Here's what they put out here, in the Abstract, it says:

Society must respond to the growing demand for cognitive enhancement.

Did you know there was a growing demand for it? The last we heard of it, was fairly recently, I read it on the air too that the Military have been into this for while, to drug the troops, to make them more efficient and also they have put out drugs there, to wipe out memories of slaughtering people, so they'll feel better about themselves, but they want to go for the whole of society. Cognitive enhancement, apparently people are crying out for it. It says:

That response must start by rejecting the idea that 'enhancement' is a dirty word, argue Henry Greely and colleagues.

When they started with enhancement, I think it was to do with breast implants and they called it 'enhancement', then they jumped from there to all kinds of other enhancements and, again, *enhancement* you see has a kind of a nice fuzzy feel to it, 'enhancement is a good thing'. It's like 'social' - we're all social creatures - until you put it with socialism. They use these terms for something really that's rather ominous in reality.

Today, on university campuses around the world, students are striking deals to buy and sell prescription drugs such as Adderall and Ritalin not to get high, but to get higher grades, to provide an edge over their fellow students or to increase in some measurable way their capacity for learning. These transactions are crimes in the United States, punishable by prison. Many people see such penalties as appropriate, and consider the use of such drugs

to be cheating, unnatural or dangerous. Yet one survey estimated that almost 7% of students in US universities have used prescription stimulants in this way, and that on some campuses, up to 25% of students had used them in the past year.

I think that's the music coming in and I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hello, I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix and I'm trying to point out the way that the agenda's introduced to society, at least the *idea* of it and this is only the follow-up to the initial indoctrinations, which are through mainly fiction. They're always showing the superman type, whether it's to do with having chips implanted in you or cyber parts or whatever, now it's drugs as well. This is the whole agenda you see, coming together, from its different quadrants; and, these experts, you see, these professional people, these intellectual people who all seem to have some backing from the Pharma agencies, have decided, in their wisdom, that because so many students, apparently, and of course they don't show you the studies, they just tell you what these studies, if they ever existed, happen to be. It says:

up to 25% of students had used them in the past year.

Now, if 25% of students had stuck cocaine into their arms, would that make it OK? Because that's how they use this argument here.

These students are early adopters of a trend that is likely to grow, and indications suggest that they're not alone.

In this article, we propose actions that will help society

See, we've got to be helped because we're too dumb and stupid to know what's good for us. To help us:

accept the benefits of enhancement, given appropriate research and evolved regulation. Prescription drugs are regulated as such not for their enhancing properties but primarily for considerations of safety and potential abuse. Still, cognitive enhancement has much to offer individuals and society, and a proper societal response will involve making enhancements available while managing their risks.

Paths to enhancement

They love paths you see.

Many of the medications used to treat psychiatric and neurological conditions

Here's the spin on it

also improve the performance of the healthy.

Have you walked through a psychiatric hospital? Have a gander, have a gander through it, if you can get in. After you've seen the people who've been there for quite a few years, and you'll see them with their little Fentazine hops and different strange way they walk and the little trumpeting sounds and the movements of

their mouths they make. The trombone tremors they call them, their tongues are always going. These are all the side-effects of the use of these drugs, but here they say *this will improve the performance of the healthy.*

The drugs most commonly used for cognitive enhancement at present are stimulants, namely Ritalin

Which is an amphetamine. Speed, you see, it's speed. It says:

and Adderall and are prescribed mainly for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

Which is, again, one of these new things that just came out of the blue; they don't know why it happened. We didn't have it before, then we had it. I've even got a good documentary here, where you see the panel psychiatrists, at their annual meeting, where they put these names into a psychiatric book. They decide if it's going to be a disorder or not and they asked the main character, who'd advocated it, to explain what it was and the clock kept going round and round and he couldn't tell you. It's so darn vague; but they've got half the children on it. It says here:

Because of their effects on the catecholamine system, these drugs increase executive functions in patients and most healthy normal people, improving their abilities to focus their attention, manipulate information in working memory and flexibly control their responses. These drugs are widely used therapeutically.

Oh, it's therapeutic doping, you see, it's therapy. You can dope them for therapy, therapeutic reasons. It says:

With rates of ADHD in the range of 4-7% among US college students using DSM criteria

This is their descriptions for is it a disease or not, or do they actually have this thing that's ADHD,

and stimulant medication the standard therapy, there are plenty of these drugs on campus to divert to enhancement use.

Then it goes on:

Towards responsible use of cognitive drugs by the healthy. Adderall is one of several drugs increasingly used to enhance cognitive function.

A newer drug, modafinil (Provigil), has also shown enhancement potential. Modafinil is approved for the treatment of fatigue caused by narcolepsy, sleep apnoea

That's when your breathing is improper when you're asleep and you probably won't sleep at all when you're on it, that's just the thing.

and shift-work sleep disorder. It is currently prescribed off label for a wide range of neuropsychiatric and other medical conditions involving fatigue as well as for healthy people who need to stay alert and awake when sleep deprived, such as physicians on night call.

Are they telling us here that the Docs on night call are all on speed? That's what

they're saying here.

In addition, laboratory studies have shown that modafinil enhances

Again: enhances.

aspects of executive function in rested healthy adults, particularly inhibitory control. Unlike Adderall and Ritalin, however, modafinil prescriptions are not common, and the drug is consequently rare on the college black market.

Well, what a shame eh? This is what they're telling you, what a shame.

But anecdotal evidence and a readers' survey both suggest that adults sometimes obtain modafinil from their physicians or online for enhancement purposes.

Does that mean we've got a lot of crooked physicians out there that just dish this stuff out when they're asked? What are they saying here?

A modest degree of memory enhancement is possible with the ADHD medications just mentioned as well as with medications developed for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease such as Aricept

Now, what they're not mentioning at all here are the side effects of any of these drugs. Every drug out there on the market has a side effect - or more than one, often. People who are trying to get off of speed, they crash and they become very aggressive at times as they're coming off of it and do incredible things to people round about them, but that's not even mentioned here; because they'll eventually go into the next part which will be to counterbalance it with tranquilisers. Now, they used to call that *uppers* and *downers*, the cycle that people used to go through. They tried this back even in the '50s then the '60s and '70s. They were on a constant cycle of popping uppers and then downers to get them asleep again. It says here:

of Alzheimer's disease such as Aricept (donepezil), which raise levels of acetylcholine in the brain. Several other compounds with different pharmacological actions are in early clinical trials, having shown positive effects on memory in healthy research subjects. It is too early to know whether any of these new drugs will be proven safe and effective, but if one is it will surely be sought by healthy middle-aged and elderly people contending with normal age-related memory decline, as well as by people of all ages preparing for academic or licensure examinations. Human ingenuity has given us means of enhancing our brains through inventions such as written language, printing and the Internet.

This is how they always try to get you to accept something, even the brain chip. They'll say: 'you know, an artificial leg is a prosthesis you know; false teeth is a prosthetic, so what's wrong with using this little chip here and sticking it in your brain?'. That's how they jump from this to this, same idea; so, from writing, the written language, printing and the Internet, they're saying drugs should be used as well. I personally can't get the connection. It says:

Most authors of this Commentary are teachers and strive to enhance the minds of their students, both by adding substantive information and by showing them new and better ways to process that information. And we are

all aware of the abilities to enhance our brains with adequate exercise, nutrition and sleep.

Well, I'll tell you one thing: you'll be in lots of exercise if you get yourself on speed / amphetamines and you'll have a hell of a job getting to sleep. It says:

The drugs just reviewed, along with newer technologies such as brain stimulation and prosthetic brain chips, should be viewed in the same general category as education, good health habits, and information technology, ways that our uniquely innovative species tries to improve itself.

Of course, no two enhancements are equivalent in every way, and some of the differences have moral relevance.

No kidding.

For example, the benefits of education require some effort at self-improvement whereas the benefits of sleep do not. Enhancing by nutrition involves changing what we ingest and is therefore invasive in a way that reading is not. The opportunity to benefit from Internet access is less equitably distributed than the opportunity to benefit from exercise. Cognitive-enhancing drugs require relatively little effort, are invasive and for the time being are not equitably distributed,

They can't do it equally, just hand them out, because this darn thing in the way called law and stuff like that.

but none of these provides reasonable grounds for prohibition. Drugs may seem distinctive among enhancements in that they bring about their effects by altering brain function, but in reality so does any intervention that enhances cognition. Recent research has identified beneficial neural changes engendered by exercise, nutrition and sleep, as well as instruction and reading. In short, cognitive-enhancing drugs seem morally equivalent to other, more familiar, enhancements.

So, getting stoned and drugged and hyper is equivalent to having a jog along some pathway or reading a book. This is what you get when you put these prunes through educational courses and they really do believe that they're superior. I think we've all met, in our lives, people, in work situations and so on, that have swallowed all this, they truly believe they are superior beings because they've read all the right books and gone through their courses at college or university and here's where they go with it. Here's where they go with it: they all become little Hitler's and form their little associations and they think that they know better how society should be run, rather than people in society themselves. This article says:

Many people have doubts about the moral status of enhancement drugs for reasons ranging from the pragmatic to the philosophical, including concerns about short-circuiting personal agency and undermining the value of human effort. Kass, for example, has written of the subtle but, in his view, important differences between human enhancement through biotechnology and through more traditional means. Such arguments have been persuasively rejected (for example, ref. 17). Three arguments against the use of cognitive enhancement by the healthy quickly bubble to the surface in most discussions: that it is cheating, that it is unnatural and that it amounts to drug abuse.

No kidding, it amounts to drug abuse? Well, isn't it drug abuse? What is the definition of drug abuse? Here's something else we should really get into a debate about. You know, our whole society, they don't even realise that the Olympic Games tie into all of this, because the Olympic Games, people think were established to bring people together from all across the world in sport, again anything that was to be global, would help drive the agenda. There was another main reason too: because when they were brought out, it was also to do with an attempt to create the Superman; go back into its history. We've heard our whole lives long how they're all cheating and using drugs just to run a bit faster than the guy next to them. Doing amazing things, to make it happen; and, suffering the consequences along the way, later in life.

We've all been conditioned, through different means that all these drugs are good for us and all these Pharma companies are good for us. You have to go into the whole eugenics movement to understand what this is all about. You have to go into the history of psychiatry, to know what this is all about, because it was founded on the premise of unhealthy genes and the fit and the unfit. It's also premised on the need that most folk are simply below par, they're too inadequate, most common people have not evolved enough, they have defective genes in them. Psychiatry came out with the intention, initially to reshape the world. Look into its history; you'll be astonished at what was said back then. I got all the old tapes in fact of the early psychiatrists, who belonged to the Eugenics Association, boasting that as they get rid of the unhealthy genes and get all these unhealthy elements out of society, they would then concentrate on breeding supermen. This was happening in England and in the US, before it was happening in Nazi Germany; although most psychiatrists in Germany did join the Nazi party because their philosophies were so much the same.

I'll leave that link up on the site when I'm finished tonight's show. We'll go to the callers now; and, we've got Dave from California, are you there Dave?

Dave: Hi Alan.

Alan: Hello.

Dave: Good to talk to you again, you always cover so much ground! I have a question for you about the 30 year riots that you mentioned with Alex Jones the other day; but, I'd like to make a brief comment on Aldous Huxley. Ok, if I'm not mistaken, that speech you refer to is the one called the Ultimate Revolution and it was in 1963 at the University of Berkeley.

Alan: It's on my site that one.

Dave: Cool, ok, and he said in that, I'm paraphrasing, that we were already far down the road to the Brave New World in 1963; and also that, he commented a little bit on the ease of hypnotising 20% of the population of the world, you literally hypnotise, with the snap of a finger, and that 60% could be hypnotised given greater or lesser amounts of effort and there were 20% who could not be hypnotised.

Alan: That's right, that's what he said.

Dave: Yes, and I'm thinking that probably that scale has slid more toward the ease of hypnosis with all the television.

Alan: I'm certain it has, absolutely.

Dave: Right, anyway, and George W. Bush said you can fool some of the people all of the time and those are the ones you want to concentrate on. I'm thinking that might be that 20% he was talking about. But, the 30 year riots, do you see us perched on the beginning of the 30 riots now and what comes after that?

Alan: After it, they hope to have stabilised the population of the world. I was going through, in fact, I'll read it tomorrow night probably, an Army Defence document on projected global populations, by the year 2030 and they've got every nation with charts done in this particular survey, and they see the drastic decline, after 2030, across the whole planet, including China and they give all the reasons as to the why's and the already said that Europe already is beyond sustainable reproduction limits as far as the original peoples go. This is all tied together, absolutely, but they're also going in to create the new type of man on the way, as we're all dying off and that's the genetically-enhanced type that will serve them better. I'll be back with more of this after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix and we've got Derrick from New Hampshire there; are you there Derrick?

Derrick: Alan? Hey, how you doin'?

Alan: Not so bad.

Derrick: That's good to hear. I just wanted to mention a bit about the books you mention often on your show there. I just recently got The Next Million Years, through the library, you know, if you go to the library, chances are they won't have it but maybe another library within the state will have it and they'll send it.

Alan: An inter-library loan, you can get a loan.

Derrick: Those are great. I read Between Two Ages and another book from there in that method and in that Next Million Years; he talks openly about domestication of us and keeping themselves, like, wild.

Alan: That's right.

Derrick: They stay preserved but I just wanted to let maybe your newer listeners know that these books are still out there. I mean, I found a copy of Between Two Ages for a buck at a flea market and you look on some of these sites and they're selling for 400 bucks. Maybe they're not selling; maybe they're just sitting there.

Alan: They're sitting waiting to see if someone will grab it for that money. You're right enough, people do neglect the library. If you can wait a month or so, they can do a search and bring it from somewhere else and to you, sure.

Derrick: Yeah, donate them, like give them 3 bucks, 5 bucks, whatever you got. Also, these books, if you're having a hard time understanding and if you can, like you say, stay awake during the book, and try and get to those gems, it's going to come together an awful lot quicker.

Alan: It does and then you'll also see that this man isn't speaking just on his own

behalf, he's speaking for massive, huge organisations that were up and running in his day.

Derrick: Absolutely, yes, so definitely get out there and hit, like I hit the antique stores and I found like half a dozen copies of Brave New World Revisited, which you can still get that brand new but you can pay 50 cents, why not pay 50 cents. Also, I want to mention a predictive programming book I just stumbled upon. It's sci-fi, John Christopher's Tripod Trilogy. Right in there, they talk about the world about a hundred years after today and how these giant tripods, which I only see them as like the cell towers really, except they're mobile; but, everybody is capped with like a mesh thing on their head

Alan: That's exactly what Arthur C. Clark, one of the big authorised sci-fi writers, put in his last book. I think it was 3001, his very last novel. They have this little ring on their heads and they're all connected with each other and no one can do anything independently and all the rest of it.

Derrick: On a side note too, I read the Profiles of the Future by Arthur C. Clark and he talks about weather control by 2010.

Alan: Yes, he was up there, he didn't dream this stuff up himself. He was a member of the Futurist Society and the big boys gave him the information, like they do with them all and tell them to write a story around it and for the youngsters it's very enticing, they lap it up and you don't realise you're being programmed to expect this happening in your life and it's all quite natural.

Derrick: The tripods book, they're geared toward the adolescent crowd and they go into, like a big part of it, is there's annual games which reminds me of the Olympics and the winners go in to serve happily those tripods in their cities, their great cities. Another great book by John Christopher, it's called The Guardians.

Alan: I've seen that one.

Derrick: It's a great one, they have the habitat areas and in-breeding and all that.

Alan: That's right; they've got it all there because the future's already written out for us, if we go along with it.

That's the end of the show for tonight, and in comes the music. So, from about 25 below, up here in Ontario Canada, from Hamish and myself, it's goodnight and may your god or your gods go with you.

Transcribed by Bill Scott.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Article: ["Towards responsible use of cognitive-enhancing drugs by the healthy"](#) (nature.com) - Dec. 7, 2008.

[PDF Version of Article](#) (at upenn.edu).)